

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/523,118	<b>Applicant(s)</b> COURTNEY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	<b>Art Unit</b> 1626	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** 71

 (1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Jane E. Inglese, Ph.D. {Reg. No. 48,444}.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 May 2007
**Time:** 11:38am
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner had called Applicant's representative for permission to: 1) delete the non-enabled cancers from claim 21; and (2) cancel all other claims in the application since these claims were drawn to non-elected inventions. However, Dr. Inglese was not available.

88  
5/14/07